FORECAST CARD

Tesla Automotive

Tesla snapshot | March 2026

Paul Campillo

Version 2.1 · March 2026 · Live—awaiting resolution

The forecast

Seven predictions about Tesla Automotive over the next 6–9 months. All probabilities assigned before resolution. All results published regardless of outcome. This forecast is hash-locked in the Seer registry as SEER-2026-0003.

ID	CLAIM	PROB	RESOLUTION
P1	No strategic recalibration—leadership doesn't publicly acknowledge brand damage or revise growth targets to align with delivery trajectory	85%	Sep 2026
P2	Global automotive deliveries decline for a third consecutive year (2026 below 1.64M)	60%	Dec 2026
P3	European market share falls below 7%	70%	Sep 2026
P4	Brand loyalty (S&P Global repeat purchase rate) remains below 55%	80%	Sep 2026
P5	No FSD L3+ regulatory approval in any US jurisdiction	85%	Dec 2026
P6	Cybercab production below 25K/quarter	70%	Dec 2026
P7	Automotive gross margin (ex-credits) stays below 18%	75%	Dec 2026

What would prove us wrong

We pre-committed to these falsifiers before any resolution data. If any occur, specific parts of our analysis are wrong—and we'll say so publicly. The full formal register is in the Seer forecast file.

CLAIM	WHAT WOULD FALSIFY IT
Tesla publicly acknowledges brand damage as structural and announces remedial action	Leadership can sense and correct—reality-sensing is functional
2026 deliveries exceed 1.64M	Delivery decline was cyclical, not structural
European share holds above 8% every quarter through Q3 2026	European rejection is cyclical, not identity-based
FSD achieves L3+ approval in any US jurisdiction by Dec 2026	Regulatory relationship better than assessed
Cybercab production exceeds 25K in any quarter of 2026	Production ramp constraint overstated
Brand loyalty recovers above 55% by Sep 2026	Identity-based closure mechanism is wrong
Auto GM (ex-credits) exceeds 18% in any quarter through Q3 2026	R⟶F cascade propagation thesis is wrong
Observable model-updating sustained 3+ months (revised guidance, acknowledged competitive reality)	Lambda can unfreeze—the triple trap can break from inside

How we'll score this

Every prediction scored using two standard methods: **Brier score** measures calibration accuracy. A prediction at 85% that resolves correctly scores 0.0225 (near-perfect). The same prediction resolving incorrectly scores 0.7225 (large miss). Lower is better.

Logarithmic scoring rule measures confidence calibration. It rewards well-calibrated confidence and penalizes overconfidence more severely than Brier. Both scores published for every prediction. No selective reporting.

Resolution timeline

DATE	WHAT HAPPENS
April 2026	Q1 delivery data arrives—first signal on P2
June 2026	Q2 delivery data—P3 (Europe) checkable; P6 (Cybercab) first production data
October 2026	P1, P3, P4 resolve—first scored results published
January 2027	P2, P5, P6, P7 resolve—full scored results published

Where to find the full analysis

The diagnosis behind these predictions—including the capacity assessment, market access analysis, the three-clock framework, and the compounding dynamic—is published at genesistheory.org/tesla-forecast.

This is our second prediction on Tesla. Version 1 (January 2026) identified a dual trap between internal capacity and market access. Version 2.1 (this document) adds margin and timing analysis, the CEO's impact on Tesla's operating environment, and the Europe finding. Both versions scored against the same criteria.

Protocol: Genesis Prediction Protocol v2.1 | Diagnostic Protocol v3.3 | **Evidence:** Public filings, market data, NBER study, court rulings, media analysis through January 2026; margin analysis updated March 2026

Forecast registered: SEER-2026-0003 · github.com/wakeupsmiling/seer · First check: October 2026. Full resolution: January 2027. Results published regardless of outcome.